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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>Australian Institute of Criminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI</td>
<td>driving under the influence of alcohol and/or illicit drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUMA</td>
<td>Drug Use Monitoring in Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDMA</td>
<td>3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSO</td>
<td>most serious offence (category)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFE</td>
<td>technical and further education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstract

Established in 1999, the Drug Use Monitoring in Australia (DUMA) program is funded by the Australian Government and is the nation’s longest-running ongoing survey of police detainees across the country. DUMA comprises two core components: a self-report survey on drug use, criminal justice history and demographic information; and voluntary urinalysis, which provides an objective measure for corroborating reported recent drug use.

This report describes drug use, drug market participation and the extent to which detainees’ alleged offences were related to drug or alcohol use. Data were collected between January and December 2018 at five sites: Adelaide, Brisbane, Perth, Bankstown and Surry Hills. Since police detainees are more likely than the general population to have been in recent contact with the illicit drug market, understanding their drug use and offending habits is valuable in the formulation of policy and programs.
Established in 1999 by the Australian Government, the Australian Institute of Criminology’s Drug Use Monitoring in Australia (DUMA) program collects drug use and criminal justice information from police detainees at watch houses and police stations across Australia. The DUMA program is the only Australian survey of police detainees conducted on a routine basis. Assessing the drug use and offending habits of detainees is valuable in the formulation of policy and programs as this population is more likely than the general community or incarcerated offenders to have had recent and close contact with the illicit drug market. The DUMA program also provides a more accurate representation of the extent and nature of drug use in Australia compared with drug arrest and seizure data. For detailed information on the program, see the Technical appendix.

Box 1: Summary of DUMA detainees

In 2018, 2,418 adult detainees participated in the DUMA program. Detainees were interviewed at five sites—Adelaide, Brisbane, Perth and Bankstown and Surry Hills in Sydney. Eighty-two percent (n=1,981) of detainees were male and 18 percent (n=437) were female (Table A1). The average age of detainees was 34 years (range=18–77; see Table A2). One-quarter of the sample (25%, n=612) identified as Aboriginal, Torres Strait Islander or both (Table A3). Twenty-two percent (n=443) of male detainees identified as Aboriginal, Torres Strait Islander or both compared with 39 percent (n=169) of female detainees.

An average of three criminal charges was recorded against each detainee. Thirty-five percent (n=814) of detainees had a violent offence as their most serious offence, followed by 25 percent (n=588) with a property offence and 21 percent (n=493) with a breach offence. Forty-seven percent (n=1,023) of detainees reported that they had been charged on another occasion in the 12 months before their current period of detention. Forty-two percent (n=1,012) of the 2,418 detainees interviewed were eligible to provide a urine samplea. Of these, 87 percent (n=876) agreed to provide a sample.

For other information on detainee profile and criminal justice contact, see the appendix tables.

a: To be eligible for urinalysis, detainees must complete the interview within 48 hours of arrest

Source: AIC DUMA collection 1999–2018 [computer file]
Data collection

Data are collected quarterly using two methods—an interviewer-administered questionnaire and urinalysis.

The questionnaire

Trained interviewers, independent from the police, administer the questionnaire to detainees. The questionnaire consists of the core questionnaire and quarterly addenda. The core questionnaire collects demographic data, details of past contact with the criminal justice system, and information on drug and alcohol use. It also contains questions about the extent to which the detainees’ alleged offences were drug or alcohol related. Charge information is obtained from police charge records.

Quarterly addenda are developed in consultation with Commonwealth and state and territory agencies to collect information on emerging issues of policy relevance. In 2018 quarterly addenda were used to collect information on pharmaceutical opioid use (quarters 1 and 2), mobile communications and drug crime (quarter 3) and the amphetamine-type stimulants market (quarter 4).

Urinalysis

Urinalysis provides an objective measure of the prevalence of drug use among detainees within a specified period of time while also allowing for comparisons across time. It also acts as a countermeasure to the under-reporting of drug use identified in other studies. Urine samples are collected in selected quarters and only from detainees who have been in custody for less than 48 hours. These urine samples are tested for five classes of drug: amphetamines, benzodiazepines, cannabis, cocaine and opioids.

In 2018 urine samples were collected from detainees in quarter 1 (all sites), quarter 3 (Adelaide, Brisbane and Perth) and quarter 4 (Bankstown).
Drug and alcohol use

Seventy-nine percent ($n=689$) of detainees who provided a urine sample for analysis tested positive to at least one type of drug and 43 percent ($n=349$) tested positive to more than one drug type (see Table B1). The test positive rate for any drug among detainees at each site was similar to the national rate, except for the Bankstown site, where 62 percent ($n=36$) of detainees tested positive to any drug (see Table B2). Compared to the national sample, the rate of test positives for multiple drugs was lower for detainees at the Adelaide site (36%, $n=70$) and the Bankstown site (38%, $n=22$; see Table B2), and higher for detainees at the Surry Hills site (52%, $n=28$).

Female detainees were more likely to test positive to multiple drug types (56%, $n=72$) compared with male detainees (41%, $n=305$; see Table B1), as were Indigenous detainees (55%, $n=122$) compared with non-Indigenous detainees (39%, $n=254$; see Table B3). Detainees aged 18 to 20 years had the highest test positive rates for multiple drugs (56%, $n=39$; see Table B4).

Figure 1 shows drug use trends for four long-term DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth (see Table B5). These data exclude Surry Hills and hence 2018 percentages may differ from those presented elsewhere in the report. The proportion of detainees testing positive to any drug in 2018 is the largest recorded since 2002, following an upward trend that began in 2010. The proportion of detainees having used multiple drug types also increased, from 27 percent ($n=513$) in 2009 to 43 percent ($n=377$) in 2018 (see Figure 1).
Changes in detainee drug use have also varied by drug type (see Figure 2). Except for a brief decrease in 2017, amphetamine use has risen over the past decade, surpassing cannabis use in 2015. The increase in the proportion of detainees testing positive to amphetamines is driven by an increase in methamphetamine use. There has been a sustained, steady decrease in opioid use over the past two decades. The rates of cannabis, cocaine and benzodiazepine use have generally remained stable over the past five years.
Amphetamines
Fifty-four percent ($n=470$) of detainees tested positive for amphetamines in 2018 (see Table B1). Methamphetamine contributed 96 percent ($n=451$) of all amphetamine test positives, whereas three percent of test positives were for MDMA ($n=12$), two percent for MDA ($n=11$) and four percent for other amphetamines ($n=17$). Overall, 52 percent of detainees tested positive to methamphetamine in 2018 (see Table B1). The test positive rate for methamphetamine remained higher than that of cannabis.

Test positive rates for methamphetamine varied by site, from 31 percent in Bankstown ($n=18$) to 62 percent in Perth ($n=158$; see Figure 3). A larger proportion of female detainees (64%, $n=82$) compared with male detainees (50%, $n=369$) tested positive to methamphetamine (see Table B1) as did Indigenous detainees (60%, $n=134$) compared with non-Indigenous detainees (49%, $n=315$; see Table B3).

Cannabis
Forty-seven percent ($n=413$) of detainees tested positive to cannabis, with rates ranging from 41 percent in Bankstown ($n=24$) and Adelaide ($n=79$) to 55 percent in Perth ($n=140$). Although there was a slight increase in cannabis use between 2017 and 2018 across all sites except Adelaide, use has generally remained stable over the past decade (from 48% in 2009; see Table B7). Positive tests for cannabis were more common among Indigenous detainees (63%, $n=141$) than non-Indigenous detainees (42%, $n=271$; see Table B3).

Opioids
Fourteen percent of detainees ($n=125$) tested positive to heroin, methadone, buprenorphine or other opioids (including prescription opioids). In 2018, buprenorphine was the main opioid used (46%, $n=58$) followed by heroin (37%, $n=46$). The proportion testing positive to opioids ranged from seven percent in Perth ($n=17$) to 37 percent in Surry Hills ($n=20$).

Benzodiazepines
Around a fifth of detainees tested positive to benzodiazepines (23%; $n=201$). Thirty percent of female detainees ($n=39$) tested positive to benzodiazepines, compared with 22 percent ($n=162$) of male detainees. The proportion of detainees testing positive to benzodiazepines has remained stable over the past decade.

Cocaine
Two percent ($n=21$) of detainees tested positive to cocaine in 2018. Although this national rate has been consistent since 2002, positive tests for cocaine in Surry Hills increased from three percent ($n=2$) in 2017 to 11 percent ($n=6$) in 2018.
Figure 3: Urinalysis positive tests by drug and location, 2018 (%)

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]; see Table B2

Box 2: Types of cannabis, heroin, methamphetamine and ecstasy used, 2018

Most cannabis users reported using hydro cannabis (79%, n=773), followed by bush cannabis (17%, n=167). Less than five percent of users reported having used hash (1%, n=5), hash oil (1%, n=6) or other types of cannabis (3%, n=23). These findings are consistent with 2017 statistics.

Heroin was most often consumed as white rock (32%, n=49) or white powder (31%, n=48). The use of white rock increased from 23 percent (n=38) in 2017. Fewer detainees consumed brown rock (16%; n=24), brown powder (10%, n=15) or other types of heroin (12%, n=18) such as beige powder and grey rock.

A vast majority of methamphetamine users (90%; n=953) reported using crystal methamphetamine (referred to as ice) on their last occasion of use. Fewer respondents reported using powder (3%, n=32), liquid (1%, n=14), or other types of methamphetamine (5%, n=54).

Approximately half of ecstasy users consumed it as a tablet (52%, n=59). The use of other forms of ecstasy, particularly crystal or rock ecstasy (32%, n=37), has increased substantially since 2017 (14%, n=19). Few users reported using powder (14%, n=16) or liquid forms (2%, n=2).

Reported alcohol use

One-third (32%, n=771) of detainees reported having consumed alcohol in the 48 hours before their detention. Both female and male detainees typically consumed a median of 11 (mean=19) total standard drinks before their arrest, at a median rate of three standard drinks (mean=5) per hour (Table B13). Alcohol consumption was particularly heavy among detainees who consumed multiple types of alcohol. Of these, male detainees consumed 22 standard drinks (median) and female detainees consumed 17 standard drinks (median) before their arrest.
Drug market indicators

Detainees were asked about the availability, quality, price and method of supply of each drug they had consumed in the 30 days before detention. Availability was rated on a scale from one (extremely hard or impossible to get) to 10 (readily available or overabundant). Quality was rated on a scale from one (extremely poor quality or purity) to 10 (excellent quality or purity). The availability scale and quality scale are reported here as very low (ratings of 1–2), low (ratings of 3–4), medium (ratings of 5–6), high (ratings of 7–8), or very high (ratings of 9–10).

Detainees were asked:

• whether the availability, quality and average price of each drug recently used had increased, decreased, or remained stable compared with three months prior;
• whether the number of dealers in the market had changed in the last three months; and
• to estimate how much of the drugs they had obtained in the past month were sold, shared or given away.

Methamphetamine

Trends in quality and availability suggest an upturn in the methamphetamine market, which corresponds with the continued rise in methamphetamine use observed (see Figures 4 and 5). Methamphetamine is reportedly the most widely available drug, with two-thirds of detainees (65%, n=682) rating availability as very high. Half of detainees (53%, n=470) reported an increase in the number of dealers selling methamphetamine, and quality was reported as medium to high (according to 60% of detainees, n=620). Most detainees reported stability in the availability (70%, n=698) and purity (60%, n=568) of methamphetamine over the past three months, and the average price was described as being stable (55%, n=550) or becoming less expensive (27%, n=264). Methamphetamine users often supply to each other, as 59 percent (n=638) reported they had shared at least some of the drug with others in the past 30 days.
Cannabis

The cannabis market is generally strong and stable, corresponding with the consistently high rates of use observed over the past five years (Patterson, Sullivan & Bricknell 2019). Cannabis was very readily accessible (albeit less so than methamphetamine), with half of detainees reporting availability as very high (48%, n=520). The potency of cannabis was similarly ranked—62 percent (n=658) of detainees indicated cannabis quality was very high or high. Detainees typically reported no change in availability (66%, n=658), price (79%, n=793), quality (65%, n=625), or the number of dealers (50%, n=432) over the prior three months. As seen with methamphetamine, there were high rates of supply among cannabis users, with over half recently sharing some (21%, n=242), half (19%, n=217) or most (16%, n=183) of their cannabis with other people.
**Heroin**

The heroin market is as stable as the cannabis market (albeit smaller, with fewer users), and heroin was consistently rated as high quality and readily accessible (see Figures 4 and 5). Most detainees who used heroin rated availability as very high or high (60%, \( n=93 \)), and a comparable proportion of users indicated the purity of available heroin was very high or high (59%, \( n=89 \)). Overall, detainees reported no recent change in the availability (64%, \( n=89 \)) or average price (72%, \( n=105 \)) of heroin or in the number of dealers supplying it (52%, \( n=66 \) reported no change in dealer numbers).

**Ecstasy**

Ecstasy appears to be less accessible and poorer in purity compared to other drugs, as a greater proportion of detainees rated its availability (29%, \( n=30 \); see Figure 4) and quality (35%, \( n=38 \); see Figure 5) as medium. Detainees reported no change in the availability (79%, \( n=66 \)), quality (61%, \( n=47 \)) or price (60%, \( n=50 \)) of ecstasy, or in the number of dealers (50%, \( n=38 \)), over the past three months. It was less common for ecstasy to be shared among users compared to other drugs (28%, \( n=32 \) reported sharing the drug).

The ecstasy market in Adelaide appears to differ from the national market, in that Adelaide detainees were more likely to report very high availability (56%, \( n=9 \)), very low quality (24%, \( n=4 \)), and a recent increase in prices (36%, \( n=4 \)). It is important to note that the detainee population interviewed for the DUMA program may not represent the broader population of ecstasy users in Australia, particularly with regard to age (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2018), so the national ecstasy market may be less volatile than the characterisation presented here.
Drug–crime attribution

In 2018, 44 percent (n=1,035) of detainees attributed their detention to either their illicit drug or alcohol use (see Table C1). Thirty percent (n=718) of detainees reported that illicit drug use was the reason they had been detained, compared with 18 percent (n=426) for alcohol use. The proportion of detainees attributing their detention to drug use has increased by 12 percentage points over the past six years from 18 percent in 2013 (n=573); in contrast, the proportion attributing their detention to alcohol has decreased from 25 percent (n=284) in 2013 (Patterson et al. 2018).

Detainees whose most serious offence (MSO) was driving under the influence of alcohol or illicit drugs (DUI) were more likely to attribute their offending to alcohol consumption than illicit drug use (see Figure 6; Table C1). Detainees were as likely to attribute violent MSOs to illicit drug use as alcohol use. Detainees whose MSO was drug-related, property-related or traffic-related were more likely to attribute their MSO to illicit drug use (particularly methamphetamine use) than to alcohol use. Few detainees attributed their arrest to the use of cannabis, heroin or ecstasy (see Table C7). Cannabis use mainly contributed to offences relating to the criminalisation of the drug (ie drug possession offences).

Figure 6: Attribution of offences to alcohol and drug use, by MSO category, 2018 (%)

Note: The proportions of detainees who attributed their offending to heroin or MDMA (5% or less for each) are not displayed.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
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Appendix A: Demographics of DUMA detainees

Table A1: National sample by age and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–20</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36+</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,981</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min/max age 18/77  18/71  18/77
Mean age (median) 34 (33)  36 (30)  34 (33)

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

Table A2: National sample by age and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Adelaide</th>
<th>Brisbane</th>
<th>Perth</th>
<th>Bankstown</th>
<th>Surry Hills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–20</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36+</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min/max age 18/74  18/75  18/77  18/73  18/60
Mean age (median) 35 (34)  34 (33)  33 (32)  35 (35)  35 (34)

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table A3: National sample by Indigenous status and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Indigenous</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table A4: National sample by Indigenous status and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Indigenous</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brisbane</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankstown</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surry Hills</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table A5: National sample by education and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 10 or less</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 11 or 12</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFE/university not completed</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed TAFE</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed university</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,973</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>2,409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Table A6: Highest education level completed by detainees by year, 2008–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Secondary education $^a$</th>
<th>Tertiary education $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,435</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,374</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1,385</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,355</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,229</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,170</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,237</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$: Includes detainees who reported completing either ‘Year 10 or less’ or ‘Year 11 or 12’

$^b$: Includes detainees who reported starting or completing TAFE or university

Note: Includes four DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth. Excludes data where detainees reported they never went to school or were still at school. Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2008–18 [computer file]

Table A7: National DUMA sample by housing and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned or rented by self</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Someone else’s place</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter or emergency</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incarceration facility/halfway house</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment facility</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fixed residence</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>985</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2008–18 [computer file]
### Table A8: National DUMA sample by employment status and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Status</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed but not currently working(a)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Looking for work</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not looking for work</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time homemaker</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studying</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,979</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>2,414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\): Due to illness, leave, strike, disability or seasonal work

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2008–18 [computer file]

### Table A9: Employment status of detainees, 2008–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Employeda</th>
<th>Unemployedb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1,065</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\): Includes detainees who reported they were working either full- or part-time at time of interview

\(b\): Includes detainees who reported they were unemployed and looking for a job or unemployed and not looking for a job at time of interview

Note: Includes four DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth. Excludes detainees who reported they were not working due to illness, leave, strike, disability or the seasonal nature of their work; full-time students or homemakers; or retired. Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table B1: National DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive test results</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDMA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other amphetamines</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioids&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other opioids</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any drug</strong></td>
<td>579</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any drug other than cannabis</strong></td>
<td>475</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple drugs</strong></td>
<td>305</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong>&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines

<sup>b</sup> Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids

<sup>c</sup> Base is total number of detainees who provided a urine sample

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table B2: National DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive test results</th>
<th>Adelaide</th>
<th>Brisbane</th>
<th>Perth</th>
<th>Bankstown</th>
<th>Surry Hills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cannabis</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cocaine</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphetamines</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDMA</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDA</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other amphetamines</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioids</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other opioids</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzodiazepines</strong></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any drug</strong></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any drug other than cannabis</strong></td>
<td>116</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple drugs</strong></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong>&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines

<sup>b</sup> Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids

<sup>c</sup> Base is total number of detainees who provided a urine sample

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive test results</th>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Indigenous</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cannabis</strong></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cocaine</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphetamines</strong></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDMA</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDA</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other amphetamines</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioids</strong></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other opioids</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzodiazepines</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any drug</strong></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any drug other than cannabis</strong></td>
<td>153</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple drugs</strong></td>
<td>122</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>223</td>
<td></td>
<td>648</td>
<td></td>
<td>871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines
b: Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids
c: Base is total number of detainees who provided a urine sample

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Table B4: National DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and age, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive test results</th>
<th>18–20</th>
<th>21–25</th>
<th>26–30</th>
<th>31–35</th>
<th>36+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other amphetamines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other opioids</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines
<sup>b</sup>: Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids
<sup>c</sup>: Base is total number of detainees who provided a urine sample
Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table B5: Detainees who tested positive to any drug type or multiple drug types, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Any drug type&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multiple drug types&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1,424</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,491</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,419</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1,226</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,217</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Detainees who tested positive to at least one drug including amphetamines (methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA or other amphetamines), benzodiazepines, cannabis, cocaine or opioids (heroin, buprenorphine, methadone or other opioids)

<sup>b</sup> Detainees who tested positive to two or more classes of drug: amphetamines, benzodiazepines, cannabis, cocaine or opioids. Detainees who tested positive to multiple types of amphetamines or opioids were not classified as multiple drug users unless they also tested positive to another drug of a different class

Note: Includes four DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
### Table B6: Methamphetamine use among police detainees, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Urinalysis test positives</th>
<th>Reported use in last 48 hours&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: Report rates were calculated as a percentage of all detainees interviewed that year.

Note: Includes four DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
### Table B7: National DUMA sample by urinalysis test results, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amphetamines</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>Opioids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes four DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
## Table B8: Adelaide DUMA sample by urinalysis test results, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Any</th>
<th>Amphetamines</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>Opioids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data were not collected at this site in quarters 2 or 4 2012, quarters 1 or 2 2013, quarter 4 2015, quarter 4 2016, or quarters 2 or 4 2017

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
Table B9: Brisbane DUMA sample by urinalysis test results, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Any n</th>
<th>Any %</th>
<th>Amphetamines n</th>
<th>Amphetamines %</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines n</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines %</th>
<th>Cannabis n</th>
<th>Cannabis %</th>
<th>Cocaine n</th>
<th>Cocaine %</th>
<th>Opioids n</th>
<th>Opioids %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data were not collected at this site in quarters 2 or 4 2012, quarters 1 or 2 2013, quarter 4 2015, quarter 4 2016, or quarters 2 or 4 2017

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
Table B10: Perth DUMA sample by urinalysis test results, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Any</th>
<th>Amphetamines</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>Opioids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>377</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>332</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>276</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>262</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>183</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>359</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>334</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>134</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data were not collected at this site in quarters 2 or 4 2012, quarters 1 or 2 2013, quarter 4 2015, quarter 4 2016, or quarters 2 or 4 2017

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]
### Table B11: Bankstown DUMA sample by urinalysis test results, 2002–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Any n %</th>
<th>Amphetamines n %</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines n %</th>
<th>Cannabis n %</th>
<th>Cocaine n %</th>
<th>Opioids n %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>174 66</td>
<td>32 12</td>
<td>45 17</td>
<td>120 45</td>
<td>25 9</td>
<td>87 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>166 63</td>
<td>47 18</td>
<td>43 16</td>
<td>98 37</td>
<td>3 1</td>
<td>86 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>144 60</td>
<td>36 15</td>
<td>32 13</td>
<td>93 39</td>
<td>16 7</td>
<td>75 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>125 53</td>
<td>39 16</td>
<td>33 14</td>
<td>69 29</td>
<td>14 6</td>
<td>64 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>150 56</td>
<td>47 17</td>
<td>42 16</td>
<td>107 40</td>
<td>21 8</td>
<td>62 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>118 54</td>
<td>41 19</td>
<td>36 17</td>
<td>87 40</td>
<td>12 6</td>
<td>40 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>107 51</td>
<td>18 9</td>
<td>28 13</td>
<td>75 36</td>
<td>10 5</td>
<td>46 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>116 59</td>
<td>18 9</td>
<td>38 19</td>
<td>78 40</td>
<td>12 6</td>
<td>46 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>118 55</td>
<td>24 11</td>
<td>34 16</td>
<td>82 39</td>
<td>2 1</td>
<td>40 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>144 55</td>
<td>35 13</td>
<td>39 15</td>
<td>96 37</td>
<td>7 3</td>
<td>60 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>50 60</td>
<td>19 23</td>
<td>15 18</td>
<td>33 39</td>
<td>3 4</td>
<td>20 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>15 44</td>
<td>9 26</td>
<td>7 21</td>
<td>8 24</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>3 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>14 58</td>
<td>8 33</td>
<td>1 4</td>
<td>5 21</td>
<td>1 4</td>
<td>2 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>41 64</td>
<td>21 33</td>
<td>9 14</td>
<td>22 34</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>9 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>26 57</td>
<td>12 26</td>
<td>8 17</td>
<td>12 26</td>
<td>4 9</td>
<td>10 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>36 62</td>
<td>18 31</td>
<td>13 22</td>
<td>24 41</td>
<td>3 5</td>
<td>12 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Data were not collected at this site in quarters 2 or 4 2012, quarters 1 or 2 2013, 2014, quarter 2015, quarter 4 2016, or quarters 2 or 4 2017*

*Source: AIC DUMA collection 2002–18 [computer file]*

### Table B12: Surry Hills DUMA sample by urinalysis test results, 2013–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Any n %</th>
<th>Amphetamines n %</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines n %</th>
<th>Cannabis n %</th>
<th>Cocaine n %</th>
<th>Opioids n %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>15 75</td>
<td>12 60</td>
<td>4 20</td>
<td>5 25</td>
<td>2 10</td>
<td>7 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>14 64</td>
<td>6 27</td>
<td>7 32</td>
<td>10 45</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>7 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>27 84</td>
<td>18 56</td>
<td>14 44</td>
<td>12 38</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>15 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>102 76</td>
<td>76 56</td>
<td>29 21</td>
<td>70 52</td>
<td>7 5</td>
<td>55 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>49 82</td>
<td>29 48</td>
<td>21 35</td>
<td>27 45</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>21 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>45 82</td>
<td>30 56</td>
<td>15 28</td>
<td>27 50</td>
<td>6 11</td>
<td>20 37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Data collection began at Surry Hills in quarter 4 2013. Data were collected at this site in quarter 4 2013, quarter 1 2014, quarter 3 2015, quarters 1 and 3 2016, and quarter 1 2017*

*Source: AIC DUMA collection 2013–18 [computer file]*
### Table B13: National DUMA sample by reported alcohol use and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol use</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past 48 hours³</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past 30 days</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alcohol type consumed on last drinking occasion³

| Beer only            | 137  | 25    | 3  | 3    | 140  | 22    |
| Cider only           | 7    | 1     | 3  | 3    | 10   | 2     |
| Wine only            | 98   | 18    | 21 | 24   | 119  | 19    |
| Spirits only         | 151  | 27    | 44 | 50   | 195  | 31    |
| Multiple types       | 161  | 29    | 17 | 19   | 178  | 28    |

### Quantities consumed on last drinking occasion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean (median)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean (median)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean (median)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total standard drinks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer only</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>7 (4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cider only</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine only</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>27 (16)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24 (16)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>27 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits only</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>15 (11)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>14 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple types</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>28 (22)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28 (17)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>28 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>546</td>
<td>19 (11)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>19 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Standard drinks per hour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean (median)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean (median)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean (median)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beer only</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cider only</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine only</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits only</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple types</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>615</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a: Only includes those who reported consuming alcohol in the past 30 days
b: Base is number of detainees who reported consuming alcohol type in the 24 hours before the incident for which they were detained
c: From quarter 3 2017, detainees were asked if they had consumed cider in the 24 hours before the incident for which they were detained. Detainees interviewed in quarter 1 and quarter 2 2017 were excluded from the total

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table B14: National DUMA sample by reported alcohol use and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol use</th>
<th>Adelaide</th>
<th>Brisbane</th>
<th>Perth</th>
<th>Bankstown</th>
<th>Surry Hills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past 48 hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past 30 days</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol type consumed on last drinking occasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer only</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cider only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits only</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple types</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantities consumed on last drinking occasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total standard drinks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer only</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cider only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine only</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23 (16)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34 (14)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits only</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18 (11)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13 (11)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple types</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30 (23)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>34 (30)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>21 (13)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>21 (12)</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard drinks per hour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer only</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cider only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine only</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits only</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple types</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: Only includes those who reported consuming alcohol in the past 30 days*

*b: Base is number of detainees who reported consuming alcohol type in the 24 hours before the incident for which they were detained*

*c: From quarter 3 2017, detainees were asked if they had consumed cider in the 24 hours before the incident for which they were detained. Detainees interviewed in quarter 1 and quarter 2 2017 were excluded from the total*

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Appendix C: Drug–crime attribution

Table C1: National DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent n</th>
<th>Violent %</th>
<th>Property n</th>
<th>Property %</th>
<th>Drug n</th>
<th>Drug %</th>
<th>DUI\textsuperscript{a} n</th>
<th>DUI\textsuperscript{a} %</th>
<th>Traffic n</th>
<th>Traffic %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Disorder n</th>
<th>Disorder %</th>
<th>Breach n</th>
<th>Breach %</th>
<th>Other n</th>
<th>Other %</th>
<th>Total\textsuperscript{b} n</th>
<th>Total\textsuperscript{b} %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1,035</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs

\textsuperscript{b} Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table C2: Adelaide DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>52 28</td>
<td>12 11</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>29 16</td>
<td>36 32</td>
<td>10 48</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>7 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>75 40</td>
<td>47 41</td>
<td>10 48</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>8 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>14 23</td>
<td>16 13</td>
<td>2 17</td>
<td>98 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>8 13</td>
<td>23 19</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>113 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>22 35</td>
<td>36 30</td>
<td>2 17</td>
<td>201 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs  
<sup>b</sup>: Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance  
Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding  
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table C3: Brisbane DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>75 30</td>
<td>30 14</td>
<td>6 7</td>
<td>1 25</td>
<td>3 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>82 32</td>
<td>108 50</td>
<td>39 45</td>
<td>1 25</td>
<td>4 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>135 53</td>
<td>125 58</td>
<td>43 50</td>
<td>2 50</td>
<td>6 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>4 27</td>
<td>33 19</td>
<td>2 67</td>
<td>154 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>4 27</td>
<td>73 42</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>311 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>7 47</td>
<td>96 55</td>
<td>2 67</td>
<td>416 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs  
<sup>b</sup>: Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance  
Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding  
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table C4: Perth DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUIa</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>52 20</td>
<td>28 13</td>
<td>5 9</td>
<td>5 83</td>
<td>4 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>76 30</td>
<td>83 39</td>
<td>21 37</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>7 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>354 43</td>
<td>294 50</td>
<td>94 47</td>
<td>16 64</td>
<td>26 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewedb</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Disorder Breach Other Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>7 21</td>
<td>2 18</td>
<td>2 17</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>7 20</td>
<td>36 22</td>
<td>2 17</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>47 38</td>
<td>194 39</td>
<td>10 29</td>
<td>1,035</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewedb</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
b: Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table C5: Bankstown DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUIa</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>80 54</td>
<td>21 14</td>
<td>6 4</td>
<td>7 5</td>
<td>9 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>7 9</td>
<td>3 14</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>3 43</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>10 12</td>
<td>6 29</td>
<td>4 67</td>
<td>1 14</td>
<td>1 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewedb</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Disorder Breach Other Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
<th>n %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 6</td>
<td>1 25</td>
<td>15 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>3 18</td>
<td>2 33</td>
<td>27 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>4 24</td>
<td>2 33</td>
<td>39 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewedb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
b: Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table C6: Surry Hills DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI(^a)</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>12 32</td>
<td>1 4</td>
<td>5 16</td>
<td>3 43</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>10 26</td>
<td>9 36</td>
<td>10 31</td>
<td>2 29</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>19 50</td>
<td>9 36</td>
<td>13 41</td>
<td>4 57</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed(^b)</td>
<td>38 25</td>
<td>9 36</td>
<td>13 41</td>
<td>4 57</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>4 57</td>
<td>2 13</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>27 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs</td>
<td>2 22</td>
<td>2 13</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>35 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attribution</td>
<td>6 67</td>
<td>4 27</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>55 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed(^b)</td>
<td>9 15</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
\(^b\): Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table C7: National DUMA sample by drug–crime attributions, drug type and most serious offence category, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI(^a)</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>171 21</td>
<td>196 33</td>
<td>60 30</td>
<td>2 8</td>
<td>15 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>14 2</td>
<td>29 5</td>
<td>7 3</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>48 6</td>
<td>56 10</td>
<td>28 14</td>
<td>3 12</td>
<td>2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecstasy</td>
<td>4 &lt;1</td>
<td>3 1</td>
<td>4 2</td>
<td>1 4</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed(^b)</td>
<td>814 588</td>
<td>202 25</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported drug–crime attribution</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine</td>
<td>20 16</td>
<td>119 24</td>
<td>3 9</td>
<td>586 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>11 2</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>64 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>32 6</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>172 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecstasy</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>12 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total detainees interviewed(^b)</td>
<td>124 493</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
\(^b\): Cells may not add to totals as detainees could attribute their detention to more than one substance

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Appendix D: Contact with the criminal justice system

Table D1: National DUMA sample by most serious offence and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charges recorded</th>
<th>Male Charges</th>
<th>Male Detainees' MSO</th>
<th>Female Charges</th>
<th>Female Detainees' MSO</th>
<th>Total Charges</th>
<th>Total Detainees' MSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>1,187</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUIb</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,592</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,933</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>4,26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Most serious offence—that is, the most serious offence for which detainees were held under charge at the time of interview
b: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Table D2: National DUMA sample by most serious offence and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offence</th>
<th>Adelaide</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charges</td>
<td>Detainees’ MSO</td>
<td>Charges</td>
<td>Detainees’ MSO</td>
<td>Charges</td>
<td>Detainees’ MSO</td>
<td>Charges</td>
<td>Detainees’ MSO</td>
<td>Charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges recorded</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUIb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9        &lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12       &lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,035</td>
<td>549%</td>
<td>2,938</td>
<td>757%</td>
<td>2,276</td>
<td>775%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offence</th>
<th>Bankstown</th>
<th></th>
<th>Surry Hills</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charges</td>
<td>Detainees’ MSO</td>
<td>Charges</td>
<td>Detainees’ MSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges recorded</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>149%</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>129%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Most serious offence—that is, the most serious offence for which detainees were held under charge at the time of interview
b: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table D3: Most serious offence charges, 2008–18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Violent n</th>
<th>Violent %</th>
<th>Property n</th>
<th>Property %</th>
<th>Drug n</th>
<th>Drug %</th>
<th>Breach n</th>
<th>Breach %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes four DUMA sites—Adelaide, Bankstown, Brisbane and Perth. Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2008-2018 [computer file]
Table D4: National DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and most serious offence, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total with MSO<sup>g</sup> | 814 | 588 | 202 | 25 | 79 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total with MSO<sup>g</sup> | 124 | 493 | 34 | 2,359 |

<sup>a</sup>: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs  
<sup>b</sup>: Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines  
<sup>c</sup>: Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids  
<sup>d</sup>: Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine  
<sup>e</sup>: Includes amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine  
<sup>f</sup>: Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine  
<sup>g</sup>: Total number of detainees with MSO who provided a urine sample. Cells may not add to totals as detainees may have tested positive to more than one drug  

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding  
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Table D5: Adelaide DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and most serious offence, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI(^{a})</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^{b})</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^{c})</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^{d})</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^{e})</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^{f})</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^{g})</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^{b})</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^{c})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^{d})</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^{e})</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^{f})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^{g})</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
b: Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines
c: Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids
d: Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
e: Includes amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
f: Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
g: Total number of detainees with MSO who provided a urine sample. Cells may not add to totals as detainees may have tested positive to more than one drug.

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. The most serious offences of detainees who tested positive for cocaine are not presented because of small cell sizes, but they have been included in the ‘Any drug’, ‘Any drug other than cannabis’ and ‘Multiple drugs’ rows. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table D6: Brisbane DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and most serious offence, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI(^a)</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>44 49</td>
<td>34 44</td>
<td>14 32</td>
<td>1 33</td>
<td>1 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^b)</td>
<td>41 46</td>
<td>50 65</td>
<td>25 57</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^c)</td>
<td>12 13</td>
<td>12 16</td>
<td>6 14</td>
<td>1 33</td>
<td>1 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>23 26</td>
<td>17 22</td>
<td>8 18</td>
<td>2 67</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^d)</td>
<td>71 80</td>
<td>63 82</td>
<td>36 82</td>
<td>3 100</td>
<td>2 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^e)</td>
<td>53 60</td>
<td>56 73</td>
<td>32 73</td>
<td>2 67</td>
<td>1 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^f)</td>
<td>36 40</td>
<td>37 48</td>
<td>14 32</td>
<td>1 33</td>
<td>1 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^g)</td>
<td>253 80</td>
<td>215 75</td>
<td>86 25</td>
<td>4 100</td>
<td>8 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
<td>n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>5 56</td>
<td>41 51</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>140 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^b)</td>
<td>2 22</td>
<td>47 59</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>166 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^c)</td>
<td>3 33</td>
<td>15 19</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>50 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>3 33</td>
<td>29 36</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>82 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^d)</td>
<td>6 67</td>
<td>67 84</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>248 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^e)</td>
<td>5 56</td>
<td>60 75</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>209 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^f)</td>
<td>5 56</td>
<td>39 49</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>133 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^g)</td>
<td>15 173</td>
<td>3 757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
\(^b\): Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines
\(^c\): Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids
\(^d\): Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
\(^e\): Includes amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
\(^f\): Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
\(^g\): Total number of detainees with MSO who provided a urine sample. Cells may not add to totals as detainees may have tested positive to more than one drug or may have tested negative to all drugs

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. The most serious offences of detainees who tested positive for cocaine are not presented because of small cell sizes, but they have been included in the ‘Any drug’, ‘Any drug other than cannabis’ and ‘Multiple drugs’ rows. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table D7: Perth DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and most serious offence, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI(^b)</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^b)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^c)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzodiazepines</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^d)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^e)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^f)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^g)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^b)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^c)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzodiazepines</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^d)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^e)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^f)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^g)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs
\(^b\): Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines
\(^c\): Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids
\(^d\): Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
\(^e\): Includes amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
\(^f\): Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine
\(^g\): Total number of detainees with MSO who provided a urine sample. Cells may not add to totals as detainees may have tested positive to more than one drug or may have tested negative to all drugs

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. The most serious offences of detainees who tested positive for cocaine are not presented because of small cell sizes, but they have been included in the ‘Any drug’, ‘Any drug other than cannabis’ and ‘Multiple drugs’ rows. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
Table D8: Bankstown DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and most serious offence, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI(^b)</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^b)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^c)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzdiazepines</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^d)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^e)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^f)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^g)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines(^b)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids(^c)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzdiazepines</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug(^d)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis(^e)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs(^f)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO(^g)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): Total includes detainees whose MSO was categorised as ‘DUI’ or ‘Traffic’
\(^b\): Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines
\(^c\): Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids
\(^d\): Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzdiazepines or cocaine
\(^e\): Includes amphetamines, opioids, benzdiazepines or cocaine
\(^f\): Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzdiazepines or cocaine
\(^g\): Total number of detainees with MSO who provided a urine sample. Cells may not add to totals as detainees may have tested positive to more than one drug or may have tested negative to all drugs

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. The most serious offences of detainees who tested positive for cocaine are not presented because of small cell sizes, but they have been included in the ‘Any drug’, ‘Any drug other than cannabis’ and ‘Multiple drugs’ rows. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
## Appendix D: Contact with the criminal justice system

### Table D9: Surry Hills DUMA sample by urinalysis test results and most serious offence, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Violent</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>DUI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>6  40</td>
<td>7  58</td>
<td>8  57</td>
<td>2  67</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7  47</td>
<td>9  75</td>
<td>6  43</td>
<td>1  33</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4  27</td>
<td>5  42</td>
<td>6  43</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>3  20</td>
<td>2  17</td>
<td>5  36</td>
<td>1  33</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13  81</td>
<td>11  92</td>
<td>12  86</td>
<td>2  67</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10  62</td>
<td>11  92</td>
<td>10  71</td>
<td>1  33</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5  33</td>
<td>9  75</td>
<td>7  50</td>
<td>1  33</td>
<td>0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38  25</td>
<td>25  32</td>
<td>7  2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urinalysis results</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Breach</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
<td>n  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>2  100</td>
<td>5  71</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>30  56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2  100</td>
<td>5  71</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>30  56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1  50</td>
<td>4  57</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>20  37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>1  50</td>
<td>3  43</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>15  28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2  100</td>
<td>5  71</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>45  82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug other than cannabis&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2  100</td>
<td>5  71</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>39  71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drugs&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2  100</td>
<td>4  57</td>
<td>0  0</td>
<td>28  51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with MSO&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9  15</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a: Driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs  
b: Includes methamphetamine, MDMA, MDA and other amphetamines  
c: Includes heroin, methadone, buprenorphine and other opioids  
d: Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine  
e: Includes amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine  
f: Includes cannabis, amphetamines, opioids, benzodiazepines or cocaine  
g: Total number of detainees with MSO who provided a urine sample. Cells may not add to totals as detainees may have tested positive to more than one drug  

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. The most serious offences of detainees who tested positive for cocaine are not presented because of small cell sizes, but they have been included in the ‘Any drug’, ‘Any drug other than cannabis’ and ‘Multiple drugs’ rows. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table D10: National DUMA sample by type of court order and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On parole</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1,592</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1,942</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On probation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On community service order</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1,017</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On another court order</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table D11: National DUMA sample by type of court order and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adelaide</th>
<th></th>
<th>Brisbane</th>
<th></th>
<th>Perth</th>
<th></th>
<th>Bankstown</th>
<th></th>
<th>Surry Hills</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On parole</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On probation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On community service order</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On another court order</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table D12: National DUMA sample by type of court order and Indigenous status, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Indigenous</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On parole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1,462</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1,938</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On probation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On community service order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On another court order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data.
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table D13: National DUMA sample by type of court order and age, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On parole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On probation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On community service order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On another court order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table D14: National DUMA sample by charge history and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior chargea</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prior charge</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: In the last 12 months
Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data.
Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
### Table D15: National DUMA sample by prior charge history and location, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Prior Charge</th>
<th>No Prior Charge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adelaide</strong></td>
<td>239 (55%)</td>
<td>197 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brisbane</strong></td>
<td>327 (43%)</td>
<td>432 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perth</strong></td>
<td>349 (47%)</td>
<td>390 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bankstown</strong></td>
<td>54 (41%)</td>
<td>77 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surry Hills</strong></td>
<td>54 (46%)</td>
<td>63 (54%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: In the last 12 months

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table D16: National DUMA sample by criminal history and gender, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior prison history (lifetime)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>867 (45)</td>
<td>142 (34)</td>
<td>1009 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1056 (55)</td>
<td>280 (66)</td>
<td>1,336 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of juvenile detention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>356 (20)</td>
<td>75 (19)</td>
<td>431 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1459 (80)</td>
<td>311 (81)</td>
<td>1,770 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior prison history (past 12 months)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>430 (22)</td>
<td>76 (18)</td>
<td>506 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1493 (78)</td>
<td>346 (82)</td>
<td>1,839 (78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: Includes any detainees who reported having been released from prison in their lifetime

b: Includes any detainees who reported having been released from a youth or juvenile detention facility
c: Includes any detainees who reported having been released from prison up to 365 days before interview

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]

### Table D17: National DUMA sample by criminal history and Indigenous status, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th>Non-Indigenous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior prison history (lifetime)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>319 (55)</td>
<td>687 (39)</td>
<td>1,006 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>260 (45)</td>
<td>1,071 (61)</td>
<td>1,331 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of juvenile detention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>202 (38)</td>
<td>277 (14)</td>
<td>429 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>334 (62)</td>
<td>1,432 (86)</td>
<td>1,766 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior prison history (past 12 months)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>175 (30)</td>
<td>328 (19)</td>
<td>503 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>404 (70)</td>
<td>1,430 (81)</td>
<td>1,834 (78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: Includes any detainees who reported having been released from prison in their lifetime

b: Includes any detainees who reported having been released from a youth or juvenile detention facility
c: Includes any detainees who reported having been released from prison up to 365 days before interview

Note: Sample size may vary as cases were excluded due to missing data

Source: AIC DUMA collection 2018 [computer file]
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