

Mapping the Current Landscape of Research Library Engagement with Emerging Technologies in Research and Learning: Executive Summary

By Sarah Lippincott

Edited by Mary Lee Kennedy, Clifford Lynch,
and Scout Calvert

March 23, 2020



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Cross-Cutting Opportunities	4
Facilitating Information Discovery and Use	8
Stewarding the Scholarly and Cultural Record	10
Advancing Digital Scholarship	12
Furthering Learning and Student Success	13
Creating and Managing Learning and Collaboration Spaces	15
Conclusion	16
Endnotes	17

Executive Summary

The generation, dissemination, and analysis of digital information is a significant driver, and consequence, of technological change. As data and information stewards in physical and virtual space, research libraries are thoroughly entangled in the challenges presented by the Fourth Industrial Revolution:¹ a societal shift powered not by steam or electricity, but by data, and characterized by a fusion of the physical and digital worlds.² Organizing, structuring, preserving, and providing access to growing volumes of the digital data generated and required by research and industry will become a critically important function. As partners with the community of researchers and scholars, research libraries are also recognizing and adapting to the consequences of technological change in the practices of scholarship and scholarly communication.

Technologies that have emerged or become ubiquitous within the last decade have accelerated information production and have catalyzed profound changes in the ways scholars, students, and the general public create and engage with information. The production of an unprecedented volume and diversity of digital artifacts, the proliferation of machine learning (ML) technologies,³ and the emergence of data as the “world’s most valuable resource,”⁴ among other trends, present compelling opportunities for research libraries to contribute in new and significant ways to the research and learning enterprise. Librarians are all too familiar with predictions of the research library’s demise in an era when researchers have so much information at their fingertips. A growing body of evidence provides a resounding counterpoint: that the skills, experience, and values of librarians, and the persistence of libraries as an institution, will become more important than ever as researchers contend with the data deluge and the ephemerality and fragility of much digital content.

This report identifies strategic opportunities for research libraries to adopt and engage with emerging technologies,⁵ with a roughly five-year time horizon. It considers the ways in which research library

values and professional expertise inform and shape this engagement, the ways library and library worker roles will be reconceptualized, and the implication of a range of technologies on how the library fulfills its mission. The report builds on a literature review covering the last five years of published scholarship, primarily North American information science literature, and interviews with a dozen library field experts, completed in fall 2019. It begins with a discussion of four cross-cutting opportunities that permeate many or all aspects of research library services. Next, specific opportunities are identified in each of five core research library service areas: facilitating information discovery, stewarding the scholarly and cultural record, advancing digital scholarship, furthering student learning and success, and creating learning and collaboration spaces. Each section identifies key technologies shaping user behaviors and library services, and highlights exemplary initiatives.

Underlying much of the discussion in this report is the idea that “digital transformation is increasingly about change management”⁶—that adoption of or engagement with emerging technologies must be part of a broader strategy for organizational change, for “moving emerging work from the periphery to the core,”⁷ and a broader shift in conceptualizing the research library and its services. Above all, libraries are benefitting from the ways in which emerging technologies offer opportunities to center users and move from a centralized and often siloed service model to embedded, collaborative engagement with the research and learning enterprise.

Cross-Cutting Opportunities

Engage with machine learning to improve research, learning, and scholarly communication.

Machine learning, the sub-discipline of artificial intelligence (AI)⁸ that “uses collections of examples to train software to recognize patterns, and to act on that recognition,”⁹ has demonstrated a remarkable ability to match (and outpace) human performance on certain well-

constrained but complex tasks, and is already incorporated into a range of common systems and devices. The term AI has taken on a life of its own; it is frequently invoked as an umbrella term for ML, natural language processing (NLP), expert systems, and related technologies that approximate human cognition. The casual use of the term AI often erases the distinction between substantive applications (for example, pattern and image recognition) and speculative and unproven uses (for example, prediction, reasoning, formulating original ideas).¹⁰ In the interests of specificity and precision, this report makes an effort to identify specific technologies (such as ML) where possible, while recognizing that some initiatives invoke AI even when the scope of their activities focuses on a specific sub-technology.

As the near-term applications of ML and related technologies shape the ways in which scholars create and engage with information, students learn and study, and communities interact with their built environments, libraries will be profoundly implicated, given their role as creators, sources, and stewards of information and as educators. Libraries can strategically engage with ML by better understanding its affordances, limitations, and risks, and by distinguishing the genuine accomplishments of ML and related technologies from AI hype. The application of ML to tasks related to classification, prediction, and pattern recognition and generation, make it particularly germane to information discovery. A number of research libraries have initiatives underway that apply ML, computer vision, natural language processing, and other techniques to automate description of large-scale digital collections¹¹ and enhance discovery, access, and analysis systems.¹² A few are also leading critical discourse and educational efforts on their campuses around the implications, ethics, and future of ML.¹³ Research libraries also have opportunities for field-level collaboration. For example, libraries could assemble the large volume of validated and labelled training data that drive ML algorithms in ways that aim to recognize or mitigate bias and that are sensitive to the specific needs of cultural heritage materials.¹⁴

Bolster services that recognize the centrality of data to the research enterprise.

Big or small, textual, numeric, or visual, in support of the humanities, science, or interdisciplinary research, digital data and structured knowledge have become essential and ubiquitous scholarly inputs and first-order outputs.¹⁵ Research libraries play a key role in data generation, dissemination, discovery, analysis, and stewardship and can contribute to realizing the vision of a FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable) data environment that advances open scholarship.¹⁶ Over the next decade, advancing FAIR data will require significant investment, creating myriad opportunities for libraries. Research libraries can contribute to FAIR data by describing structured data; building and providing access to machine-actionable and ML-ready data sets that facilitate computationally driven research; collaborating with domain experts to develop descriptive standards and ontologies that support disciplinary and multi-disciplinary research by humans and machines; and maintaining reuse-driven repository infrastructure.¹⁷ Research libraries are developing services that are attuned to the needs of scholars working with very large data sets as well as the long tail of smaller, heterogeneous, unique, and often labor-intensive data sets that support research across the disciplinary spectrum. In their role as educators, librarians are also well-positioned to cultivate data fluency and the technology skills required for data-centric research methods.¹⁸

Integrate the library's services and collections with the networked environment.

Researchers operate in geographically distributed, interdisciplinary, networked environments. Scholarly communication has also become diversified and disaggregated. The library's role in information management is being reenvisioned: no longer solely a steward of a unified local collection, the library becomes the facilitator of a networked suite of open and extensible tools, resources, and services. Building local research collections will eventually

diminish in importance, while curation and facilitated access to information become critical.¹⁹ Research libraries are leveraging emerging technologies to make their services and collections interoperable and more seamlessly integrated into the lives and work of their constituents. For example, research libraries are ensuring that their unique digital collections—including digitized special collections, institutionally published content, and expert profiles—are interoperable with web-scale and federated discovery tools, by creating harvestable, machine-readable metadata, and associating them with persistent identifiers. As research praxis routinely crosses institutional and geographic boundaries, research libraries also have opportunities to act consortially or outside of their local framework to maximize their impact. Research libraries could, for example, develop coordinated models of research data stewardship in which individual institutions assume responsibility for a segment of data (such as data defined by domain or type) based on local strengths and capacity.²⁰ Conversely, libraries could contribute their expertise to initiatives that are not affiliated with or hosted by their (or any) campus, such as specialized “data communities.”²¹

Cultivate privacy awareness and privacy services.

Emerging technologies are redefining expectations of privacy and creating tensions around the ethical use of personal data. The ease of constant surveillance is facilitated in physical space by Internet of Things (IoT) technologies that collect continuous streams of data, and in virtual space by the collection of digital analytics by campus and third-party systems. ML tools can process this data with remarkable speed and precision, making genuine data de-identification nearly impossible. As students and scholars come to expect (data-driven) personalized digital services and campuses expect to reap the benefits of large-scale data analytics, libraries will have critical choices to make. Research libraries can play a key role in helping their campus communities develop a nuanced understanding of privacy in physical and digital space. In their own work, libraries can commit to transparent data collection retention and use policies, and

conscious, thoughtful management and control of personal information. This includes negotiating vendor agreements that protect reader privacy,²² offering trade-offs between privacy and personalization where appropriate,²³ and establishing boundaries around their participation in campus-wide data collection efforts.²⁴

A genuine commitment to privacy may become one of the library's fundamental distinguishing features;²⁵ many libraries are working to provide (physical and virtual) spaces that consciously minimize and make transparent the ways in which users may be tracked or their data collected. Libraries have an opportunity to position themselves as leaders in privacy education and privacy-aware approaches to personalization, learning analytics, and the use of tracking technologies on campus. A core component of user-centered library services will be positioning users at the center of discussions about the ethical use of user data and the implementation of tracking devices, algorithmic decision-making tools, and other potentially invasive technologies in libraries.

Facilitating Information Discovery and Use

Invest in user-centered discovery tools.

The widespread adoption of web-scale discovery tools, combined with a landscape of information overabundance, has upended “the notion that the library attempts to licence or provide access to all [published] material” and instead has prompted libraries to focus on creating and licensing discovery tools and services that navigate and curate content.²⁶ Some of the most promising uses of emerging technologies to make search and discovery more user-centered include various kinds of enhanced search, NLP-based automated text-processing tools, recommendation systems, and personal assistants. While libraries may develop homegrown solutions, most of these tools will be commercial products, making them potentially problematic with regard to privacy. Aspirationally, these technologies expand discovery beyond simple search and retrieval, reconceptualizing it as a process of exploration and engagement with networked information.

Reveal hidden digital collections through enhanced description.

The acceleration of digitization and born-digital content creation has left libraries facing an ever-increasing backlog of resource description to drive traditional collection discovery and navigation tools and methods. As libraries place increasing value on their unique local collections, they need new ways of making those collections discoverable and navigable to internal and external audiences, both human and machine. A number of academic libraries are experimenting with technologies such as ML algorithms (including facial recognition and image recognition/classification) and natural language processing to automate metadata creation, improve discoverability of visual information, and provide unprecedented access to their rich digitized and born-digital collections.

Expose library collections beyond library systems.

As information becomes distributed, diversified, and open, researchers prefer web-scale discovery tools that aggregate resources from a range of sources over siloed library catalogs and digital asset management systems.²⁷ Research libraries have a number of strategic opportunities to integrate library collections with a range of other open, digital resources, enriching the information available to users on the open web. Research libraries are meeting users where they are by implementing search engine optimization techniques; exposing metadata for harvesting by aggregators, such as the Digital Public Library of America; providing application programming interfaces (APIs) that permit new forms of computational engagement with collections; adopting interoperability standards, such as the International Image Interoperability Framework (IIIF),²⁸ to facilitate discovery and reuse; and participating in linked open data (LOD) initiatives. The shift towards revealing local collections to external audiences rather than the reverse, a trend Lorcan Dempsey has called the “inside-out library”²⁹ and one component of what other authors have termed the “library as platform,”³⁰ is a natural consequence of an open, oversaturated, and networked information landscape.

Stewarding the Scholarly and Cultural Record

Advance open research and publishing practices.

By supporting open research practices—including the adoption of open metadata standards, creation of machine-readable publications, and deposit of outputs (including underlying data and code) in open repositories—libraries make research more discoverable, reusable, reproducible, and durable. These practices improve both the quality of scholarship itself and the quality and manageability of the scholarly record. Libraries play a critical role in achieving FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable) research data through their curation, education, and preservation activities.³¹ Realizing the vision of FAIR scholarship will be a central challenge for the research community over the next decade.

Reinforce integrity and trust in the scholarly and cultural record.

Memory institutions are built on trust: the trust that materials under their stewardship are authentic, immutable, and preserved in perpetuity or de-acquired through a transparent and well-understood process. Emerging technologies pose new challenges for fulfilling the role of trusted steward. The assurance of authenticity, for example, is threatened by the ease of manipulating and altering digital media, and the complexities of determining provenance of digital materials. Deep fakes—counterfeit video, audio, still images, and textual content created using ML—pose a particular challenge. Research libraries have a range of digital forensics tools at their disposal to authenticate digital artifacts and collections at the time of accession and throughout their life cycle. They are also identifying secure pathways—possibly involving distributed ledger technologies (such as blockchain) and public key infrastructure (PKI)—to acquire copies of digital objects from sources they trust, documenting and proving the chain of custody, and any changes that have been made to it along the way.³² After accessioning, fixity checking continually proves objects and collections do not change over time, due to degradation of the content,

or to intentional or accidental manipulation. Underlying all of these processes is the need to maintain security and integrity of computing and storage operations in the face of cyberattacks³³ and natural disasters. Finally, librarians also help their constituents develop the skills needed to assess and critically engage with the integrity and reliability of information.

Preserve the evolving scholarly and cultural record.

The expanded scholarly and cultural record has amplified both the technical and social barriers to achieving digital preservation at scale. On the technical front, emerging technologies have led to new types of research and creative outputs that require new approaches to digital preservation, as well as an unprecedented rate of digital content creation. Software, 3-D data, dynamic web content, and the inputs and outputs of ML, among other media, push the limits of established digital preservation practices. The digital cultural and historical record—the massive volumes of digital images and video, news, social media posts, and other web-based content that constitute essential evidence for present and future scholarship—will be incompletely preserved its scale and complexity.³⁴ Addressing the thorny questions of what can and should be preserved over the long term, will require deep cross-institutional coordination and cooperation.³⁵ On the social front, the distributed and licensed nature of digital scholarly and cultural content presents legal, administrative, and financial barriers. Even as emerging technologies have destabilized the digital preservation environment, they have also offered new solutions and opportunities. A few libraries—and their collaborators in computer science and information technology departments—are leveraging developments in containerization, distributed ledger technologies (such as blockchain), new storage media, and automation of digital preservation practices through ML to help ensure that the expanded scholarly record remains accessible well into the future.

Advancing Digital Scholarship

Develop data services that work for big data³⁶ and small data across disciplines.

Academic and research libraries are natural partners with others involved in data management activities, and many maintain robust and active research data management services. Librarians have the disciplinary, information management, and technology expertise required to manage data throughout its life cycle. The profile of library data services is being shaped by a number of forces, including the expanding emphasis on data-driven research in humanities and social sciences fields and the need for infrastructure and services that recognize data as a living asset. As they work with complex, heterogeneous, and mutable data sets, scholars need tools and education that facilitate analysis, sharing, and preservation. Emphasis on data use and reuse has profound implications for repository infrastructure, entailing a shift from infrastructure optimized for storage and retrieval to one optimized for analysis and sharing.³⁷ While a few libraries have made strides in this area, most data repository services remain focused on helping scholars meet federal and funder requirements around data deposit. Research libraries also face challenges as they design data services and infrastructure that are sensitive to discovery and analysis methods that vary widely by discipline.

Provide and sustain machine-actionable collections.

Some of the most innovative digital scholarship work uses computational processes to derive new insights from vast troves of digital and digitized content held in library collections. Text and data mining have gained traction with many scholars in a range of disciplines as they seek more nuanced methods of discovery and analysis.³⁸ Machine-actionable collections enable researchers to go beyond simple information retrieval, treating collections (including their metadata, full-text, and relationships) as the input for powerful

computational processes. Such initiatives as the Collections as Data project encourage cultural heritage institutions to thoughtfully develop digital collections (licensed, purchased, and unique) and allied services (for example, workshops, consultations, digital platforms) that support “computationally-driven research and teaching.”³⁹ This means not only making digital collections available online, but providing them as structured, machine-actionable data sets. Machine-actionable collections are essential not only for human-driven computational research, but for the development of new ML tools, which rely on large quantities of structured data to become proficient at a task. Libraries can apply their “expertise and practical experience in creating and managing classification systems” to develop ML training sets that serve the needs of cultural heritage institutions.⁴⁰

Deliver data science education and consultation.

Data science proficiency has rapidly become a core competency for researchers and students, as scholars in many or most disciplines routinely rely on computational data analysis in their research and learning.⁴¹ Research libraries can cultivate the data science skill sets to sustain and expand these practices. Some research libraries have identified a niche in providing tailored educational offerings for faculty members and students outside of STEM fields, who may lack opportunities within their department or program of study.⁴² These informal educational programs can help undergraduate and graduate students in all disciplines become proficient in common data science tools (such as electronic lab notebooks), techniques (such as web scraping), research data management practices, compliance with funder and federal policies, and open science principles.

Furthering Learning and Student Success

Build digital fluency and digital scholarship skill sets.

Research libraries provide a range of informal education and consultation to impart the digital skills that contribute to the academic and professional success of undergraduates, graduate students,

and early career researchers. These include workshops that teach concrete digital scholarship and coding skills, such as programming languages,⁴³ software carpentry,⁴⁴ and data visualization;⁴⁵ research data management and open science practices; and scholarly communications topics such as copyright, identity management, and navigating academic publishing. Longer-term cohort-based educational programs have also become popular. These programs often encourage interdisciplinary engagement with an emerging technology over the course of a semester or longer.⁴⁶ A few research libraries have also launched formal programs that fill gaps in the academic curriculum, for example, the Temple University Libraries' interdisciplinary cultural analytics certificate.⁴⁷ In addition to digital scholarship skills, research libraries have opportunities to help students critically engage with and optimize their use of a new generation of productivity tools, many powered by ML, that promise to assist users in a range of tasks related to learning and study.

The ease of publishing information and misinformation on the web, the growing sophistication of counterfeit content, and the use of black box algorithms to generate and display information mean that achieving digital fluency⁴⁸ also requires that students be able to interpret and evaluate an unprecedented array of new media formats and sources. Students need to understand not only the credibility and reliability of textual media, they need data and algorithmic literacy skills, strategies for distinguishing between genuine and manipulated or fabricated digital content, and an understanding of online data privacy. Libraries are well-positioned to deliver an expanded digital fluency curriculum in partnership with faculty members, campus IT, and other collaborators.

Foster critical engagement with and access to emerging technologies for all students.

As third spaces, independent from any campus department, libraries have become hubs of technology access for students in all majors. Technology-rich learning and information commons, collaboration

studios, makerspaces, and labs are now commonplace in libraries. Locating digital scholarship centers within libraries can help to democratize and de-silo access to cutting edge technologies, encouraging cross-disciplinary collaboration and discovery.⁴⁹ These spaces provide access to specialized software and hardware for fabrication (such as 3-D printers, computer-aided design and drafting software); visualization (such as high-resolution displays); immersive reality (such as VR headsets); and other digital research and creation methods. When libraries apply their existing expertise as educators to new forms of knowledge production, they can help their communities thoughtfully and productively engage with technology in these spaces. Librarians are equally well-positioned to collaborate with faculty on the pedagogically grounded integration of technologies such as immersive reality and information visualization in the classroom.

Creating and Managing Learning and Collaboration Spaces

Create dynamic, networked spaces that promote new forms of inquiry.

While leading-edge technology is often most conspicuous in makerspaces and labs, some of its most transformative potential lies in the seamless and often invisible integration of emerging technologies into the full library-visitor experience. The use of Internet of Things technologies presents a particularly compelling opportunity for library spaces (whether in the library building or embedded in shared spaces around campus) and services to dynamically adapt to user behaviors. The ubiquitous integration of sensors and networked technologies into the library's physical spaces could transform it into "a living-learning lab that senses and studies human dynamics, human-computer interactions, and human-building interactions."⁵⁰ Libraries have an opportunity to pioneer inclusive, privacy-aware approaches to this integration of sensing technologies in the public sphere. Creating networked library spaces complements the library's role as data provider and steward, as a node for digital information discovery, and as a promoter of critical engagement with emerging technologies and the changing nature of research and information behavior.

Enhance the user experience in library spaces.

Emerging technologies offer a range of opportunities for libraries to make spaces more welcoming, navigable, interactive, comfortable, and productive. Libraries are experimenting with the Internet of Things (IoT), particularly beacon technology, to create self-guided library tours and navigational aids,⁵¹ build augmented reality (AR) exhibits,⁵² provide location-specific mobile alerts,⁵³ help users locate materials in the library stacks,⁵⁴ and facilitate access to bookable or restricted spaces or items.⁵⁵ Emerging technologies can also be deployed to enhance a sense of community within library spaces. Several speculative apps propose to help users locate and connect with others in a library space who share their interests, allowing them to form study or collaboration groups on the fly.⁵⁶ As they engage with beacons, wearables, and location-based apps, libraries are cognizant of implications around privacy and intellectual freedom, and are developing best practices for privacy-aware implementation of IoT technologies in library spaces.⁵⁷

Conclusion

Research libraries can bring values-based decision-making to bear as they find the right balance in their approach to adopting and experimenting with emerging technologies—the balance between agility and sustainability, convenience and privacy, transformation and persistence. As emerging technologies such as machine learning, immersive reality, and the Internet of Things change the ways researchers and students engage with information, libraries have opportunities to advance their contributions to the research and learning enterprise. As adopters of these technologies, research libraries can make information more discoverable, reusable, and durable. As educators, library workers can help their communities critically and productively engage with technology in the service of research and learning.

Endnotes

1. Klaus Schwab, “The Fourth Industrial Revolution: What It Means, How to Respond,” World Economic Forum, January 14, 2016, <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2016/01/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-what-it-means-and-how-to-respond/>.
2. Donna Ellen Frederick, “Libraries, Data and the Fourth Industrial Revolution,” Data Deluge Column, *Library Hi Tech News* 33, no. 5 (July 4, 2016): 9–12, <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-05-2016-0025>.
3. “ML is a subset of the larger field of artificial intelligence (AI) that ‘focuses on teaching computers how to learn without the need to be programmed for specific tasks,’ note Sujit Pal and Antonio Gulli in *Deep Learning with Keras*. ‘In fact, the key idea behind ML is that it is possible to create algorithms that learn from and make predictions on data.’ —James Furbush, “Machine Learning: A Quick and Simple Definition, O’Reilly, May 3, 2018, <https://www.oreilly.com/ideas/machine-learning-a-quick-and-simple-definition>.
4. “The World’s Most Valuable Resource Is No Longer Oil, but Data,” Leaders, *The Economist*, May 6, 2017, <https://www.economist.com/leaders/2017/05/06/the-worlds-most-valuable-resource-is-no-longer-oil-but-data>.
5. The definition of emerging technologies developed by Rotolo, Hicks, and Martin “identifies five attributes that feature in the emergence of novel technologies. These are: (i) radical novelty, (ii) relatively fast growth, (iii) coherence, (iv) prominent impact, and (v) uncertainty and ambiguity.”—Daniele Rotolo, Diana Hicks, and Ben R. Martin, “What Is an Emerging Technology?,” preprint, submitted February 13, 2015, last revised January 4, 2016, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.00673>.
6. “‘None of This Is Really about Technology’ — Digital Transformation and Culture Change,” *Jisc* (blog), January 21,

2020, <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/news/none-of-this-is-really-about-technology-digital-transformation-and-culture-change-21-jan-2020>.

7. Thomas Padilla, *Responsible Operations: DataScience, Machine Learning, and AI in Libraries* (Dublin, OH: OCLC, 2019), <https://doi.org/10.25333/xk7z-9g97>.
8. For the purposes of this paper we use the following definition of AI from the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AAAI): “the scientific understanding of the mechanisms underlying thought and intelligent behavior and their embodiment in machines.”—AAAI, “Information about AI from the News, Publications, and Conferences,” *AITopics*, accessed February 19, 2020, <https://aitopics.org/search>.
9. Clifford A. Lynch, “Machine Learning, Archives and Special Collections: A High Level View,” *ICA Blog*, International Council on Archives, October 2, 2019, <https://blog-ica.org/2019/10/02/machine-learning-archives-and-special-collections-a-high-level-view/>.
10. Ian Bogost, “‘Artificial Intelligence’ Has Become Meaningless,” *The Atlantic*, March 4, 2017, <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2017/03/what-is-artificial-intelligence/518547/>.
11. Matthew Short, “Text Mining and Subject Analysis for Fiction; or, Using Machine Learning and Information Extraction to Assign Subject Headings to Dime Novels,” *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly* 57, no. 5 (2019): 315–36, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639374.2019.1653413>; Rachael Goh, “Using Named Entity Recognition for Automatic Indexing” (paper presented at IFLA WLIC 2018: “Transform Libraries, Transform Societies,” Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 2018), <http://library.ifla.org/id/eprint/2214>; Martijn Kleppe et al., *Exploration Possibilities Automated Generation of Metadata* (The Hague: National Library of the Netherlands, August 23, 2019), <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3375192>.

12. Nicolas Fiorini et al., “PubMed Labs: An Experimental System for Improving Biomedical Literature Search,” *Database: The Journal of Biological Databases and Curation* 2018 (September 18, 2018), <https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bay094>; Victoria L. Rubin, Yimin Chen, and Lynne Marie Thorimbert, “Artificially Intelligent Conversational Agents in Libraries,” *Library Hi Tech* 28, no. 4 (2010): 496–522, <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831011096196>.
13. Lindsay McKenzie, “A New Home for AI: The Library,” *Inside Higher Ed*, January 17, 2018, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/01/17/rhode-island-hopes-putting-artificial-intelligence-lab-library-will-expand-ais-reach>.
14. Padilla, *Responsible Operations*.
15. Jean-Christophe Plantin, Carl Lagoze, and Paul N. Edwards, “Re-Integrating Scholarly Infrastructure: The Ambiguous Role of Data Sharing Platforms,” *Big Data & Society* 5, no. 1 (January–June 2018): 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951718756683>.
16. Barend Mons, “FAIR Science for Social Machines: Let’s Share Metadata Knowlets in the Internet of FAIR Data and Services,” *Data Intelligence* 1, no. 1 (Winter 2019): 22–42, https://doi.org/10.1162/dint_a_00002.
17. Zhiwu Xie et al., “Towards Use and Reuse Driven Big Data Management,” in *JCDL ‘15: Proceedings of the 15th ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conference on Digital Libraries* (New York: Association for Computing Machinery, 2015), 65–74, <https://doi.org/10.1145/2756406.2756924>.
18. Matt Burton et al., *Shifting to Data Savvy: The Future of Data Science in Libraries* (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, 2018), <http://d-scholarship.pitt.edu/33891/>.
19. Lorcan Dempsey, “Library Collections in the Life of the User: Two Directions,” *LIBER Quarterly* 26, no. 4 (October 11, 2016): 338–59, <https://doi.org/10.18352/lq.10170>.

20. Carole Palmer, interview by author, October 30, 2019.
21. Danielle Cooper and Rebecca Springer, *Data Communities: A New Model for Supporting STEM Data Sharing*, Issue Brief (New York: Ithaca S+R, May 13, 2019), <https://doi.org/10.18665/sr.311396>.
22. Clifford A. Lynch, “Reader Privacy: The New Shape of the Threat,” *Research Library Issues*, no. 297 (2019): 7–14, <https://doi.org/10.29242/rli.297.2>.
23. Marshall Breeding, “Strengthening Patron Engagement while Protecting Privacy,” *Computers in Libraries* 38, no. 8 (October 2018): 18–20.
24. Kyle M.L. Jones and Dorothea Salo, “Learning Analytics and the Academic Library: Professional Ethics Commitments at a Crossroads,” *College & Research Libraries* 79, no. 3 (April 2018): 304–23, <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.79.3.304>.
25. Tony Ageh and Brent Reidy, interview by author, October 30, 2019.
26. Andrew M. Cox, Stephen Pinfield, and Sophie Rutter, “The Intelligent Library: Thought Leaders’ Views on the Likely Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Academic Libraries,” *Library Hi Tech* 37, no.3 (2019): 418–35, <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHT-08-2018-0105>.
27. David Attis and Colin Koproske, “Thirty Trends Shaping the Future of Academic Libraries,” *Learned Publishing* 26, no. 1 (January 2013): 18–23, <https://doi.org/10.1087/20130104>; John Akeroyd, “Discovery Systems: Are They Now the Library?,” *Learned Publishing* 30, no. 1 (January 2017): 87–89, <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1085>.
28. Stuart Snyderman, Robert Sanderson, and Tom Cramer, “The International Image Interoperability Framework (IIIF): A Community & Technology Approach for Web-Based Images,” in *Archiving Conference*, vol. 2015 (Springfield, VA: Society for Imaging Science and Technology, 2015), 16–21.

29. Lorcan Dempsey, “Libraries and the Informational Future: Some Notes,” *Information Services & Use* 32, no. 3–4 (2012): 203–14, <https://doi.org/10.3233/ISU-2012-0670>.
30. Roger C. Schonfeld, “Does Discovery Still Happen in the Library? Roles and Strategies for a Shifting Reality,” *Ithaka S+R* (blog), September 24, 2014, <https://sr.ithaka.org/blog/does-discovery-still-happen-in-the-library-roles-and-strategies-for-a-shifting-reality/>; David Weinberger, “Library as Platform,” *Library Journal*, September 4, 2012, <https://www.libraryjournal.com?detailStory=by-david-weinberger>.
31. Mark D. Wilkinson et al., “The FAIR Guiding Principles for Scientific Data Management and Stewardship,” *Scientific Data* 3 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18>.
32. Mark Bell et al., “Underscoring Archival Authenticity with Blockchain Technology,” *Insights* 32, no. 1 (2019): 21, <https://doi.org/10.1629/uksg.470>.
33. Glenn D. Tiffert, “Peering Down the Memory Hole: Censorship, Digitization, and the Fragility of Our Knowledge Base,” *American Historical Review* 124, no. 2 (April 2019): 550–68, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ahr/rhz286>.
34. Oya Y. Rieger, *The State of Digital Preservation in 2018: A Snapshot of Challenges and Gaps*, Issue Brief (New York: Ithaka S+R, October 29, 2018), <https://doi.org/10.18665/sr.310626>.
35. Carol A. Mandel, *Can We Do More? An Examination of Potential Roles, Contributors, Incentives, and Frameworks to Sustain Large-Scale Digital Preservation* (Arlington, VA: Council on Library and Information Resources, September 2019), <https://clir-dlf.app.box.com/s/31tc6nrna3cj8jjwoymee78gl3plzlo2>.
36. There are many definitions of big data. This report may be helpful to the reader: *NIST Big Data Interoperability Framework: Volume 1, Definitions*, NIST Special Publication 1500-1 (Washington, DC:

- US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, September 16, 2015), <https://bigdataawg.nist.gov/uploadfiles/NIST.SP.1500-1.pdf>.
37. Zhiwu Xie and Edward A. Fox, “Advancing Library Cyberinfrastructure for Big Data Sharing and Reuse,” *Information Services & Use* 37, no. 3 (2017): 319–23, <https://doi.org/10.3233/ISU-170853>.
 38. Christine L. Borgman, “Whose Text, Whose Mining, and to Whose Benefit?,” accepted for publication in *Quantitative Social Sciences*, December 3, 2019, <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3682b9j6>.
 39. Thomas Padilla et al., *Final Report – Always Already Computational: Collections as Data*, May 22, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3152935>.
 40. Michael Ridley, “Training Datasets, Classification, and the LIS Field,” *Library AI* (blog), September 26, 2019, <https://libraryai.blog.ryerson.ca/2019/09/26/training-datasets-classification-and-the-lis-field/>.
 41. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, *Open Science by Design: Realizing a Vision for 21st Century Research* (Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2018), <https://doi.org/10.17226/25116>.
 42. Jennifer Muilenburg and Judy Ruttenberg, “New Collaboration for New Education: Libraries in the Moore-Sloan Data Science Environments,” *Research Library Issues*, no. 298 (2019): 16–27, <https://doi.org/10.29242/rli.298.3>.
 43. Jeffrey C. Oliver et al., “Data Science Support at the Academic Library,” *Journal of Library Administration* 59, no. 3 (2019): 241–57, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2019.1583015>.
 44. Thea P. Atwood et al., “Joining Together to Build More: The New England Software Carpentry Library Consortium,” *Journal of eScience Librarianship* 8, no. 1 (2019): 5, <https://doi.org/10.7191/>

- [jeslib.2019.1161](#); see also “Foundations for Research Computing: A University-wide Initiative,” Columbia University, accessed February 19, 2020, <https://rcfoundations.research.columbia.edu>.
45. Lisa M. Federer and Douglas J. Joubert, “Providing Library Support for Interactive Scientific and Biomedical Visualizations with Tableau,” *Journal of eScience Librarianship* 7, no. 1 (2018): e1120, <https://doi.org/10.7191/jeslib.2018.1120>.
 46. Victoria Szabo, “Collaborative and Lab-Based Approaches to 3D and VR/AR in the Humanities,” in *3D/VR in the Academic Library: Emerging Practices and Trends*, ed. Jennifer Grayburn et al. (Arlington, VA: Council on Library and Information Resources, February 2019), <https://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub176/>; also see, for example, “The 99 AI Challenge,” University of Toronto Libraries, accessed February 19, 2020, <https://onesearch.library.utoronto.ca/ai-challenge>.
 47. Morgan Zalot, “Temple Libraries Launches Interdisciplinary Cultural Analytics Certificate,” *Temple Now*, Temple University, June 3, 2019, <https://news.temple.edu/news/2019-06-03/temple-libraries-launches-interdisciplinary-cultural-analytics-certificate>.
 48. Jennifer Sparrow, “Digital Fluency: Preparing Students to Create Big, Bold Problems,” *EDUCAUSE Review*, March 12, 2018, <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2018/3/digital-fluency-preparing-students-to-create-big-bold-problems>.
 49. Joan K. Lippincott and Diane Goldenberg-Hart, *Digital Scholarship Centers: Trends & Good Practice* (Washington, DC: Coalition for Networked Information, 2014), https://www.cni.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/CNI-Digital-Schol.-Centers-report-2014.web_.pdf.
 50. Yi Shen, “Intelligent Infrastructure, Ubiquitous Mobility, and Smart Libraries – Innovate for the Future,” *Data Science Journal* 18, no. 1 (March 21, 2019): 11, <https://doi.org/10.5334/dsj-2019-011>.

51. Jonathan Bradley et al., “Creation of a Library Tour Application for Mobile Equipment Using IBeacon Technology,” April 25, 2016, <https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/handle/10919/71832>.
52. Brandon Patterson, “Talking Portraits in the Library: Building Interactive Exhibits with an Augmented Reality App,” *Code4Lib Journal*, no. 46 (November 5, 2019), <https://journal.code4lib.org/articles/14838>.
53. Somaly Kim Wu, Marc Bess, and Bob R. Price, “Digitizing Library Outreach: Leveraging Bluetooth Beacons and Mobile Applications to Expand Library Outreach,” *Digitizing the Modern Library and the Transition From Print to Electronic* (IGI Global, 2018), 193–203, <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-2119-8.ch008>; Sidney Eng, “Connection, Not Collection: Using IBeacons to Engage Library Users,” *Information Today*, December 2015, <http://www.infotoday.com/cilmag/dec15/Eng--Using-iBeacons-to-Engage-Library-Users.shtml>.
54. Valeda Dent et al., “Wayfinding Serendipity: The BKFNDr Mobile App,” *Code4Lib Journal*, no. 42 (November 8, 2018), <https://journal.code4lib.org/articles/13811>.
55. Hubert C. Y. Chan and Linus Chan, “Smart Library and Smart Campus,” *Journal of Service Science and Management* 11, no. 6 (November 28, 2018): 543–64, <https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2018.116037>.
56. Ian Glover and Kieran McDonald, “Digital Places: Location-Based Digital Practices in Higher Education Using Bluetooth Beacons,” in *EdMedia+ Innovate Learning* (Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), 2018), 950–959.
57. Jim Hahn, “Security and Privacy for Location Services and the Internet of Things,” *Library Technology Reports*, 53, no. 1 (2017): 23–28, <https://www.journals.ala.org/index.php/ltr/article/view/6178>.